



EPWG Update 1st March 2012

Barry Dalus

UKOPA/12/0020

Outline of Update

- PERO Course
- Current Activities
- Proposed Initiatives
- UKOPA Website

PERO Course (1)

- ❑ Current programme of planned courses will be completed in March 2012
 - ❑ (4 courses completed 2011/12)
- ❑ Proposal for a further 3 courses with the option for a 4th before end of March 2013
 - ❑ 15th/16th May
 - ❑ 3rd/4th July
 - ❑ 28th/29th Nov
 - ❑ 5th/6th March 2013
- ❑ The current UKOPA presenters (Tony Taylor and Donal Cullen) have confirmed their ongoing availability for and commitment to the course for the foreseeable future
- ❑ Cost of Course (post March 2012) **£1,020 (from £975)**

PERO Course (2)

- ❑ Future changes at the FSC may impact upon the arrangements for and costs of the PERO course.
 - ❑ Contract between FSC & UKOPA
- ❑ FSC Bi Monthly Newsletter in support of UKOPA PERO
 - ❑ Opportunity to promote the course to wider ordinance.
 - ❑ Should the PERO course be open to Non UKOPA members and how would this be administered?
 - ❑ Can UKOPA members contact (E-mails) be sent to FSC for Newsletter distribution
- ❑ EPWG requests ongoing organisational support from the UKOPA Secretary
 - ❑ PERO Administration
 - ❑ EPWG – thanks to outgoing secretary
- ❑ EPWG is updating aspects of the course material (incident information, exercises, live demonstrations).

PERO Course Feedback

UKOPA Pipeline Emergency Response Officers (PERO)
FEED BACK & EVALUATION

FEED BACK & EVALUATION

| | | | |
|-------------|---------------|-------------------|------|
| Name | BRIAN DICKSON | Candidate Company | SGN |
| Course Date | 20/11/2017 | Course | PERO |

Your feedback and evaluations help deliver and develop further a successful training program please complete this form at the end of your course and return to the course tutor.

Please circle the answer that best suits your opinion.

CL01 Aims & Objectives

| | Disagree | | | | | Agree | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|----|
| Course aims and objectives met | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Your own personal objectives met | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

CL02 Content & Level of Training

| | Disagree | | | | | Agree | | | | |
|--|----------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|----|
| Content was relevant to course objectives | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Content was relevant to your job | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Training methods were appropriate | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| The course was sufficiently interactive | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| The course was interesting & challenging | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| The use & quality of learning material was appropriate | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| The course was well placed | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| You will be able to apply what you have learned | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| You learned a lot from this course | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

CL03 Practical Exercises

| | Disagree | | | | | Agree | | | | |
|--|----------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|----|
| Effective exercise debrief & feedback | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Received detailed & helpful feedback | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| The incident ground exercise and scenarios were realistic & relevant to the course | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Exercises contributed to effective learning | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

UKOPA Pipeline Emergency Response Officers (PERO)
FEED BACK & EVALUATION

CL04 Which part of the learning do you think will be the most useful
On site PERO - Scenario

CL05 Which part of the learning do you think will be the least useful
N/A

CL06 What would you have liked to be included in the course
N/A

CL07 Tutors

| | Disagree | | | | | Agree | | | | |
|---|----------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|----|
| Were the tutors sufficiently knowledgeable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Did they have an effective style & delivery | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Were they sensitive to the diverse needs of the group | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Any further comments?

CL08 Overall

| | Disagree | | | | | Agree | | | | |
|---|----------|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|----|
| How do you rate the overall learning on this course | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| How do you rate the overall experience taking into account accommodation, food etc. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Any further comments?

Do you have any additional comments?
Excellent course, very relevant to my position especially whilst on emergency call.

PERO Course Feedback



Current Activities

The EPWG is currently addressing the following:-

- Development of hazard distances for gas and liquid pipelines
- The strategy for representing pipeline operators in the UK Government review of Critical National Infrastructure
- Interface with the NESG
- Representation of UKOPA at CAPEPLG

Interface with National Environmental Strategy Group (NESG) (1)

- ❑ Confirmed that UKOPA members do not follow a common standard for emergency equipment / arrangements, each member makes their own arrangements
- ❑ Arrangements vary according to type of pipeline - agreements with emergency responders, 'ad-hoc' arrangements, owned equipment, shared equipment, with local government bodies / utility companies
- ❑ Members are not readily able to share their equipment.
- ❑ EPWG is considering developing a list of *approved* contractors for the UKOPA website
- ❑ Details of FRS operated environmental equipment units and the geographical locations of these have been requested for publication on the UKOPA website.

Interface with National Environmental Strategy Group (NESG) (2)

- ❑ The EA provide environmental emergency response training for its employees, and will consider the feasibility of a joint venture with UKOPA
- ❑ UKOPA has expressed an interest in the EA automated 'Flood Warning' facility (addition of any key asset into the database which would automatically send warnings for potential flooding)
- ❑ Oil Pipeline Maps - conflict that exists between pipeline operator requirements to publish the locations versus Department of Security's concerns over terrorist information / activity. Guidance from the cabinet office is under consideration.
- ❑ EA Data-share: software development providing datasets on a range of environmental points of interest from flood maps to areas of special scientific interest – a presentation to UKOPA has been requested.

Proposed Initiatives

The EPWG is considering the following initiatives and invites comments from UKOPA:-

- Holding a UKOPA workshop on emergency plans and procedures?
- Preparation of UKOPA Guidance on emergency scenarios and emergency response requirements?
- Collating UKOPA member information on contracts with emergency response service providers and memoranda of understanding relating to emergency response with other operators/utilities.
- Consider next phase of PERO course

UKOPA Website

The EPWG fully supports the new UKOPA website, and:-

- ❑ Intends to issue a letter to all LAEPs informing them of the EPWG documents available on the website.

- ❑ Proposes that information from and links to emergency service providers (e.g. Pipeline Maintenance Centre, Adler and Allen, Greystar etc) be included on the website.

EPWG

Questions / comments?