



Fault and Risk Working Group (FARWG) Update

Simon Joyce – FARWG Chair
Asset Engineer (HP Gas Pipelines)
SGN

FARWG – Current Membership

- | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1. Simon Joyce | SGN (Chair) |
| 2. Nikki Barker | PIE (Secretary) |
| 3. Kristina Brazenaite | Northern Gas Networks |
| 4. Graham Canty | Gas Networks Ireland |
| 5. Neil Clarke | Valero |
| 6. Darren Cushen | Wales & West Utilities |
| 7. Dave Brown | Essar |
| 8. Alex Green | Cadent |
| 9. Stephen Humphrey | CLH-PS |
| 10. Fridolin Jenny | Swissgas |
| 11. Arnaldo Latas | Ineos FPS |
| 12. Graham Pailor | Sabic |
| 13. Steve Potts | National Grid |
| 14. Richard Price | BPA |
| 15. Nick Procter | Wood Group PSN |
|
 | |
| 16. <i>Mike Acton</i> | <i>DNV-GL</i> |
| 17. <i>Graham Goodfellow</i> | <i>PIE (former Chair)</i> |
| 18. <i>Rod McConnell</i> | <i>Independent consultant</i> |

2017 Summary

□ 2017 Meetings:

- Ambergate (National Grid) – 5th April
- Kingsbury (BPA) – 12th September
- Stanlow (Essar) – 6th December

□ Key activities in 2017:

- Leak & Fault Database 2016 report
- Ongoing discussions with HSE LUP regarding thick wall pipe failure frequency issues
- Development of GPGs & TBNs of historic work

2018 Work Programme

Base Load

- Collect fault data & issue Product Loss & Fault Report (1962-2017)
 - Operator data transfer issues – solved?
 - Inconsistent data entries – ongoing
- Continued development of Liquid Hydrocarbon Database

Key Priorities – Carried forward from 2017

- Create 10 TBN/GPGs covering historic work from RAWG
- Update Fault Distributions (>5 years since last update)
- Update 3rd Party Failure Frequency Model Recommendations
- Review Fault Data & Report requirements
- HSE LUP thick-walled pipeline frequency
 - Reinstate LUP Case Database

Good Practice Guides

Good Practice Guides		Complete	Progress
1	Application of Cost Benefit Analysis to demonstrate ALARP	90%	1 st Draft issued for comment Aug 17 DNV GL producing 2 nd Draft?
2	Capturing & Monitoring Population Density	50%	Initial draft complete Input requested from RSK Orbital to illustrate
3	Managing Societal Risk in the vicinity of Gas Pipelines	90%	NG draft document by Steve Potts updated by Neil Jackson Format to be updated to latest GPG

Target completion – end of Q1 2018

TBNs of Historic Work

	Technical Briefing Note	Complete	Progress
4	Collecting & Reporting Fault Data (incl.FR1 form)	25%	Based on presentation to Data Collection workshop (April 2016)
5	LUP Zones for Gasoline Pipelines	50%	1 st draft complete
6	Effect of Pipeline Surveillance on Risk	0%	To document surveillance chart in IGEM/TD/2
7	History of Land Use Planning (incl. Event Tree & Ethylene Frequency)	10%	Last issued as UKOPA document in 2005 – major revision to bring up to date
8	Oil Pipelines Drain Down Study	90%	1 st draft issued Q1 2016 Format to be updated and comments included
9	Managing Encroachment & Societal Risk for Oil Pipelines	0%	May combine with 5
10	Managing Societal Risk in the vicinity of Ethylene Pipelines	90%	Reissued for comments to FARWG Format to be updated

Target completion – end of Q1 2018

Update Failure Distributions

- ❑ 2016 data available
- ❑ More distributions required
 - ❑ ISRU review for COOLTRANS identified 5 separate distributions
 - ❑ Gouge Length
 - ❑ Gouge Depth
 - ❑ Gouge in Dent Length
 - ❑ Gouge in Dent Depth
 - ❑ Denting Force
 - ❑ Lognormal and Weibull distributions
- ❑ Data passed to Susannah Turner (Highgrade Associates)
 - ❑ Produced last data fits in 2010 when at Penspen
- ❑ Updated distributions to be included in IPC 2018 paper and next Product Loss Report

Update 3rd Party Failure Frequency Model

- ❑ 3 sources of models
 - ❑ AFFECT model from COOLTRANS
 - ❑ Provided by NG
 - ❑ PDAM2 Report
 - ❑ (Informal) agreement reached with Penspen for supply of a copy (for this project only)
 - ❑ New EPRG model
 - ❑ Some queries over fit of test data so publication delayed until at least end Q1 2018
 - ❑ Dr. Andrew Cosham currently reviewing model for EPRG
 - ❑ Initial (informal) feedback is that model may be slightly more accurate but less useful for failure frequency prediction as it requires generally unavailable data

- ❑ Objectives
 - ❑ Produce report recommending approach
 - ❑ Update spreadsheet prediction tool and check standard set of cases for impact
 - ❑ Investigate web-based tool for failure frequency prediction by members

Review Fault Data & Report Requirements

- Occasional requests from members and public for more data to be presented
 - Review UKOPA report versus EGIG
 - UKOPA = 33 pages
 - EGIG = 61 pages
 - Any other suggestions welcome
 - Recommendations for 2017 report

- Creation of fault data report requires leaks and defects to be correctly categorised
 - Each year manual process to deal with data that is inconsistent or blank in key cell

- Propose review of leak data to ensure details correct
 - May include follow up with operator, review of original FR/1 form, etc. where data cannot logically corrected

Failure Frequency for thick-walled pipe in LUP

- ❑ HSE apply a fixed rupture rate for Ground Movement / Other category to all pipelines when calculating LUP zones
 - ❑ “Update of pipeline failure rates for land use planning assessments”
HSL Report MSU/2012/38, 06/12/12
 - ❑ Based on single perimeter crack failure of a forged end seal at Palaceknowe in 1993

- ❑ Ground movement / Other is almost 99% of rupture rate for thick-walled pipe
 - ❑ Can lead to significant LUP zones
 - ❑ Can't be mitigated by thick-wall or slabbing (or even pressure reduction)



'Progress' on Discussions with HSE

- ❑ UKOPA position paper (UKOPA/16/007) issued to HSE on 20th June 2016
 - ❑ 6 key points of disagreement with HSE/HSL analysis

- ❑ Response from HSE on 13th June 2017
 - ❑ HSE believes current failure rates are fit for purpose for LUP
 - ❑ Other category covers future unknown failure issues
 - ❑ Effect of Palacknowe will reduce as operational exposure from 1993 (without failure) increases

- ❑ Response to HSE Response issued on 26th October 2017
 - ❑ Request to work with HSE to achieve satisfactory outcome for all
 - ❑ Appendix of detailed comments/clarifications

- ❑ Positive discussion with Julie Voce & Alan Meyer at Annual UKOPA/HSE Liaison Meeting in Kegworth on 1st November 2017
 - ❑ Request from HSE to gather operator data on:
 - ❑ LUP cases that had caused operator difficulty
 - ❑ LUP cases where PADHI/Web tool has not been correctly applied or applied inconsistently
 - ❑ NB data similar to part of requirements of original LUP case database

2018 Meeting Schedule

- 21st March – SGN, Yarnton, Oxfordshire
- 11th September – DNV GL, Loughborough
- 4th December – NG, Ambergate